

Published on Web 08/30/2003

## Oxygen Binding to Sulfur in Nitrosylated Iron–Thiolate Complexes: Relevance to the Fe-Containing Nitrile Hydratases

Chien-Ming Lee,<sup>†</sup> Chung-Hung Hsieh,<sup>†</sup> Amitava Dutta,<sup>†,§</sup> Gene-Hsiang Lee,<sup>‡</sup> and Wen-Feng Liaw<sup>\*,†</sup> Department of Chemistry, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30043, Taiwan, and Instrumentation Center, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10764, Taiwan

Received March 24, 2003; E-mail: wfliaw@mx.nthu.edu.tw

Nitrile hydratase (NHase) is a metalloenzyme which catalyzes the hydrolysis of a variety of nitriles to the corresponding amides.<sup>1-3</sup> Recent X-ray crystallographic studies on the inactive form of the Fe-containing nitrile hydratase (Fe-NHase) from Rhodococcus sp. N-771 revealed that the iron center is coordinated by two deprotonated carboxamido nitrogens, three Cys-S residues with two of them posttranslationally modified to Cys-sulfinic (Cys-SO<sub>2</sub>) and Cys-sulfenic (Cys-SO) groups, and a NO molecule.<sup>4</sup> The iron site of the Fe-NHase coordinated by the modified cysteines residues (sulfinate RSO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and sulfenate RSO<sup>-</sup> groups) through the sulfur atoms is unprecedented.1-4 An inactive, NO-bound form is generated in cells grown in the dark, and the active form of the Fe-NHase is regenerated with release of NO molecule upon exposure to light.<sup>5,6</sup> The role/function of the NO ligand, NO binding to the iron active site before or after Cys-S oxygenation, the oxidant(s) responsible for the posttranslational modifications of the bound Cys-S donors at the active site of the enzyme, the biogenic mechanism and the functional role of the modified cysteine-sulfinic and -sulfenic groups, and the reason(s) for the asymmetric oxygenation of the two Cys-S ligands are the principal questions to be raised in the chemistry of the Fe-NHase.<sup>7-9</sup> Herein, we report an iron NO-bound complex  $[(NO)Fe(S,S-C_6H_4)_2]^-$  (2) which reacts with molecular oxygen to afford the corresponding S-bonded monosulfinate  $[(NO)Fe(S,SO_2-C_6H_4)(S,S-C_6H_4)]^-$  (3) (Figure 1) and the dimeric S-bonded bis(sulfinate) species [(NO)Fe(SO<sub>2</sub>,SO<sub>2</sub>- $C_6H_4$ )(S,S- $C_6H_4$ )]<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup> (4), respectively. Also, photolysis study reveals that complexes 2 and 3 are photochemically interconvertible.

When  $[PPN][(CO)_2(CN)Fe(S,NH-C_6H_4)]$  (0.4 mmol)<sup>10</sup> was reacted directly with 1,2-benzenedithiol (0.8 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at room temperature, the pentacoordinate Fe complex [PPN]- $[(C_4H_8O)Fe(S,S-C_6H_4)_2]$  (1) was isolated as a dark red-brown solid after recrystallization from THF/hexane (yield 80%).<sup>11</sup> Subsequent addition of NO gas to complex 1 in THF/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> produced the thermally stable, dark reddish brown [PPN][(NO)Fe(S,S-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] (2) complex (Scheme 1a).<sup>12</sup> Obviously, complex 1 serves as an efficient NO trapping agent. Complex 2 (0.1 mmol, 0.0902 g) reacted slowly with molecular oxygen in THF/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution at room temperature for one week to yield the S-bonded monosulfinate  $[PPN][(NO)Fe(S,SO_2-C_6H_4)(S,S-C_6H_4)]$  (3) complex (Scheme 1b).<sup>13–15</sup> The dark purple solid **3** was isolated in 21% yield (0.02) g) after the mixture solution was separated by silica gel chromatography with THF and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> as eluant and recrystallized with THF/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and diethyl ether (On the basis of the UV-vis electronic absorption, the actual yield of conversion of 2 to 3 is calculated as 35%.).<sup>15</sup> Preliminary study shows that complex 1 does not initiate O2 activation to yield iron-sulfinate/-sulfenate compound identified by IR  $\nu(SO)$  spectra; instead, a trace amount of an insoluble yellow solid occurs after the reaction solution is stirred



**Figure 1.** ORTEP drawing and labeling scheme of the  $[(NO)Fe(S,SO_2-C_6H_4)(S,S-C_6H_4)]^-$  anion. Fe-N(1), 1.629(3); Fe-S(av), 2.219(2); N(1)-O(1), 1.169(4) Å.



**Figure 2.** ORTEP drawing and labeling scheme of the [(NO)Fe(SO<sub>2</sub>,SO<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)(S,S-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)]<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup> anion. Fe(1)-N(1), 1.647(3); Fe-S(av), 2.2785(9); N(1)-O(1), 1.153(3) Å.

in THF/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> for 6 days. Thus, the presence of NO binding to the Fe center appears crucial in promoting oxygenation at sulfur and resulting in the formation of the thermally stable iron-monosulfinate complex 3.

The infrared spectrum of complex **3** shows strong bands at 1779 and 1196, 1060 cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr), corresponding to the  $\nu$ (NO) and  $\nu$ -(SO) stretching frequencies of the S-bonded sulfinate group, respectively.<sup>13</sup> Reactions carried out by using <sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> demonstrate that O<sub>2</sub> is the source of the sulfinate oxygen atoms. Infrared spectrum displays  $\nu$ (SO) vibrational bands at 1154, 1019 cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) in the <sup>18</sup>O-labeled complex **3**. Uptake of oxygen atoms by complex **2**, to yield complex **3**, was followed by UV–vis spectrophotometry. Complex **2** has bands in the electronic absorption spectrum at 497 nm (THF). Upon sulfur oxygenation, the color of the complex solution changed from dark reddish brown to purple, and the band

Department of Chemistry, National Tsing Hua University.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Instrumentation Center, National Taiwan University.
 <sup>§</sup> Current address (Dr. Amitava Dutta): Department of Chemistry, Bangabasi Morning College, 19, Scott Lane, Calcutta-700009, India.

Scheme 1



at 497 nm disappeared with the formation of two intense absorption bands at 525 and 980 nm. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of complex **3**, showing the expected signals for the [S,SO<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>] and [S,S-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>] ligands, is consistent with a diamagnetic species.<sup>15</sup> The electrochemistry of complex **3**, measured in CH<sub>3</sub>CN with 0.1 M [*n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>N]-[PF<sub>6</sub>] as supporting electrolyte (scan rate 100 mV/s), reveals two quasi-reversible oxidation—reduction processes at -0.62 and -1.21V ( $E_{1/2}$ ) (vs Ag/AgClO<sub>4</sub>), as compared to -0.81 and -1.16 V ( $E_{1/2}$ ) for complex **2**.<sup>13</sup>

The S-bonded monosulfinate complex **3** undergoes oxygen transfer reaction in THF/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution with 2 equiv of PPh<sub>3</sub> (expected to be O-atom abstracting agent) over the course of 5 days to yield complex **2** and triphenylphosphine oxide identified by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 1b').<sup>16</sup> The conversion of complex **3** to complex **2** was also displayed when CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (10 mL) of complex **3** (0.05 mmol) was photolyzed under N<sub>2</sub> purge at ambient temperature for 60 min; the shift in electronic absorptions at 525 and 980 nm to 497 nm is accompanied by a change in color of the solution from purple to dark reddish brown which is in accord with the formation of complex **2** (Scheme 1b'). During this transformation, no intermediate was detected spectrally. The reversibility of [O] atom binding demonstrates that complexes **2** and **3** are photochemically interconvertible.<sup>17</sup>

Subsequent reaction of complex **3** (0.939 g, 1 mmol) with [PPN]-[NO<sub>2</sub>] (0.584 g, 1 mmol) in the presence of O<sub>2</sub> in THF/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1:1 ratio) for 10 days at room temperature, as shown in Scheme 1c, produced the dark blue, thermally unstable dimeric bis(sulfinate) [PPN]<sub>2</sub>[(NO)Fe(SO<sub>2</sub>,SO<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)(S,S-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)]<sub>2</sub> (**4**) crystals (yield 3%) after washed with THF and recrystallized from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.<sup>18</sup> Complex **4** displayed three distinct peaks at 1212, 1067, 1057 cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) in the IR  $\nu$ (SO) spectrum, consistent with the presence of bis(sulfinate) groups coordinated to iron.<sup>16</sup>

Structures of complexes **3** and **4** are presented in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. Analysis of the bond angles for complexes **2** and **3** reveals that iron is best described as existing in a distorted trigonal bipyramidal coordination environment with NO and sulfinate groups occupying equatorial and axial positions, respectively, in complex **3**, whereas the distorted square pyramidal geometry is adopted in complex **2**. Consistent with other published transition-metal sulfinate complexes,<sup>13</sup> the S–O bond lengths average to ca. 1.464(2) and 1.462(2) Å in complexes **3** and **4**, respectively. The O···O distance is measured at 2.468 Å, while the S(1)···S(2) distance is 3.072 Å (S(1)···S(3), 3.030 Å) in complex **3**.

In summary, the results obtained from this work implicate that binding of one NO molecule to the Fe center promotes sulfur oxygenation of iron-dithiolates by molecular oxygen and stabilizes the S-bonded monosulfinate iron species, as observed in the inactive, NO-bound form of the Fe-NHase, and the iron-NO-sulfinate species exhibit the photolabilization of sulfur-bound [O] moiety under mild conditions. Studies of the NO/Fe oxidation state(s) of this series of {Fe(NO)}<sup>6</sup>-type iron-NO-sulfinate species by XAS,<sup>19</sup> the influence of {Fe(NO)}<sup>n</sup> electronic structure on the Fe-N-O bond angle as well as sulfinate ligand(s) on the electronic environment of iron center,<sup>19</sup> the effect of S atom electron density on the sulfur oxygenation of the analogous iron-thiolate-nitrosyl compounds, and mechanistic studies of sulfur oxygenation are ongoing.<sup>20</sup>

**Acknowledgment.** We greatly acknowledge financial support from the National Science Council (Taiwan).

**Supporting Information Available:** Crystallographic data in CIF format and additional figures and experimental details (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## References

- (a) Kobayashi, M.; Shimizu, S. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **1998**, *16*, 733–736. (b) Kobayashi, M.; Nagasawa, T.; Yamada, H. *Trends Biotechnol.* **1992**, *10*, 402–408.
- (2) Brennan, B. A.; Alms, G.; Nelson, M. J.; Durney, L. T.; Scarrow, R. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 9194.
- (3) Sugiura, Y.; Kuwahara, J.; Nagasawa, T.; Yamada, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5848–5850.
- (4) (a) Nagashima, S.; Nakasako, M.; Dohmae, N.; Tsujimura, M.; Takio, K.; Odaka, M.; Yohda, M.; Kamiya, N.; Endo, I. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **1998**, *5*, 347–351. (b) Huang, W.; Jia, J.; Cummings, J.; Nelson, M.; Schneider, G.; Lindqvist, Y. *Structure* **1997**, *5*, 691–699.
- (5) (a) Noguchi, T. Hoshino, M.; Tsujimura, M.; Odaka, M.; Inoue, Y.; Endo, I. *Biochemistry* **1996**, *35*, 16777–16781. (b) Odaka, M.; Fujii, K.; Hoshino, M.; Noguchi, T.; Tsujimura, M.; Nagashima, S.; Yohda, M.; Nagamune, T.; Inoue, Y.; Endo, I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 3785–3791.
- (6) Scarrow, R. C.; Stickler, B. S.; Ellison, J. J.; Shoner, S. C.; Kovacs, J. A.; Cummings, J. C.; Nelson, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 9237–9245.
- (7) (a) Shearer, J.; Kung, I. Y.; Lovell, S.; Kaminsky, W.; Kovacs, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 463–468. (b) Kung, I.; Schweitzer, D.; Shearer, J.; Taylor, W. D.; Jackson, H. L.; Lovell, S.; Kovacs, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 8299–8300.
- (8) Noveron, J. C.; Olmstead, M. M.; Mascharak, P. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 3247–3259.
- (9) Noguchi, T.; Honda, J.; Nagamune, T.; Sasabe, H.; Inoue, Y.; Endo, I. FEBS Lett. 1995, 358, 9–12.
- (10) Liaw, W.-F.; Lee, N.-H.; Chen, C.-H.; Lee, C.-M.; Lee, G.-H.; Peng, S.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 488.
- (11) Complex 1: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  3.84 (br), 1.98 (br) (C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O), -3.01 (br), -36.79 (br) (S,S-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) ppm. Absorption spectrum (THF) [ $\lambda_{max}$ , nm ( $\epsilon$ , M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)]: 560(11469), 360(16658), 329(17441), 302(17991). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>52</sub>H<sub>4</sub>ONP<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>Fe: C, 65.96; H, 4.90; N, 1.48. Found: C, 66.31; H, 4.92; N, 1.79.
- (12) Complex 2: IR: 1789 s (THF) (ν<sub>NO</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 6.87 (m), 7.55 (m) (S,S-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) ppm. Absorption spectrum (THF) [λ<sub>max</sub>, nm (ε, M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)]: 320(34925), 497(4400), 610(1010). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>48</sub>H<sub>38</sub>-ON<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>Fe: C, 63.71; H, 4.23; N, 3.09. Found: C, 63.49; H, 4.70; N, 3.38. The X-ray structure of 2 will be published in the full report.
- (13) Grapperhaus, C. A.; Darensbourg, M. Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 451-459.
- (14) Kumar, M.; Colpas, G. J.; Day, R. O.; Maroney, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8323–8325.
- (15) Complex 3: IR: 1789 s (THF), 1791 s (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) ( $v_{NO}$ ); 1779 (KBr) ( $v_{NO}$ ), 1196, 1060 (KBr) ( $v_{SO}$ ) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.026 (dd), 7.765 (d), 7.17 (dd), 7.08 (t), 6.985 (t) (S,S-C<sub>c</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, S, S(O)<sub>2</sub>-c<sub>c</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) ppm. Absorption spectrum (THF) [ $\lambda_{max}$ , nm ( $\epsilon$ , M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)]: 312(23829), 525-(4750), 625sh(2600), 980(3900). Anal. Calcd for C4<sub>8</sub>H<sub>3</sub>S<sub>0</sub>3h<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>Fe: C, 61.54; H, 4.09; N, 2.99. Found: C, 62.28; H, 4.37; N, 3.15.
- (16) Buonomo, R. M.; Font, I.; Maguire, M. J.; Reibenspies, J. H.; Tuntulani, T.; Darensbourg, M. Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 963–973.
- (17) Patra, A. K.; Afshar, R.; Olmstead, M. M.; Mascharak, P. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2512–2515.
- (18) Complex 4: IR: 1862 (ν<sub>NO</sub>), 1212, 1067, 1057 (ν<sub>SO</sub>) (KBr) cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>96</sub>H<sub>76</sub>O<sub>10</sub>N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>8</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>: C, 59.505; H, 3.953; N, 2.891. Found: C, 60.20; H, 4.37; N, 2.60.
- (19) (a) Popescu, V.-C.; Munck, E.; Fox, B. G.; Sanakis, Y.; Cummings, J. G.; Turner, I. M., Jr.; Nelson, M. J. *Biochemistry* **2001**, *40*, 7984–7991.
  (b) Enemark, J. H.; Feltham, R. D. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1974**, *13*, 339–406.
- (20) Feig, A. L.; Bautista, M. T.; Lippard, S. J. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 6892-6898.

JA035292T